Synthesis of the CDE/FG Ring Models of Prymnesins: Reassignment of the Relative Configuration of the E/F Ring Juncture

Makoto Sasaki,^{*,†} Makoto Ebine,[†] Hiroyuki Takagi,[†] Hiroyuki Takakura,[†] Takeshi Shida,[‡] Masayuki Satake,[†] Yasukatsu Oshima,[†] Tomoji Igarashi,[§] and Takeshi Yasumoto[§]

Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, Tsutsumidori-amamiya, Aoba-ku, Sendai 981-8555, Japan, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan, and Tama Laboratory, Japan Food Research Laboratories, Nagayama, Tama, Tokyo 206-0025, Japan

masasaki@bios.tohoku.ac.jp

Received March 8, 2004

1501 - 1504

ABSTRACT



Synthesis of two diastereomeric models (3a and 3b) corresponding to the CDE/FG ring of prymnesins, polycyclic ether toxins isolated from the red tide phytoflagellate *Prymnesium parvum*, is described. Comparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data for each compound with those reported for prymnesins suggests that the earlier stereochemical assignment of the E/F ring juncture needs to be revised.

Prymnesium parvum is a unicellular alga that blooms in brackish water and causes massive fish kills worldwide. The causative toxins, prymnesin-1 (PRM1, 1) and prymnesin-2 (PRM2, 2), were isolated from cultured cells of the phytoflagellate. These toxins possess extremely potent hemolytic activity, which is about 5000-fold greater than that of Merk saponin on a molar basis, and also exhibit potent ichthyotoxicity. Their gross structures, including partial stereochemistry, were determined by Igarashi et al.^{1,2} Prymnesins possess unique structural features: an unbranched single chain of 90 carbons except for a single methyl group, a fused polycyclic ether ring system (A–E ring), four distinct 1,6-dioxadecalin units (FG, HI, JK, and

10.1021/ol049569I CCC: \$27.50 © 2004 American Chemical Society Published on Web 04/08/2004

LM rings), conjugated double and triple bonds, chlorine atoms and an amino group, and glycosidic residues, including an uncommon L-xylose. The relative stereochemistry of the fused A–E polycyclic ether ring domain and four 1,6dioxadecalin units was determined by extensive NMR analysis. Recently, the absolute configuration at C14 bearing an amino group in PRM2 was determined to be *S* by using a chiral anisotropic reagent and that at chlorinated C85 to be *S* by fluorimetric chiral HPLC comparison between a degradation product and synthetic references (Figure 1).³

On the basis of the extensive NOE data as well as coupling constants, it was proposed that the E/F ring juncture adopted a twisted gauche rotamer, where two pairs of diaxial protons (33-H/37-H and 38-H/42-H) were aligned under approximately 20° of the dihedral angle.² Although this conformation best explained the observed NMR data, it remained some-

[†] Tohoku University.

[‡] University of Tokyo.

[§] Japan Food Research Laboratories.

⁽¹⁾ Igarashi, T.; Satake, M.; Yasumoto, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 479–480.

⁽²⁾ Igarashi, T.; Satake, M.; Yasumoto, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 8499–8511.

⁽³⁾ Morohashi, A.; Satake, M.; Oshima, Y.; Igarashi, T.; Yasumoto, T. *Chirality* **2001**, *13*, 601–605.



Figure 1. Proposed structures of prymnesin-1 (PRM1, 1) and prymnesin-2 (PRM2, 2).

what ambiguous for the relative configuration between the C-37 and C-38 positions.

We have already demonstrated that the synthesis of model fragments coupled with *J*-based configuration analysis (JBCA)⁴ has successfully elucidated the relative configuration of the acyclic portions of maitotoxin, the most toxic and largest nonbiopolymer.⁵ A similar approach was applied to prymnesins, and the relative configuration of the I/J ring juncture was unambiguously confirmed.⁶ As part of our studies toward complete stereochemical assignment of prymnesins, we describe herein the synthesis of two diastereomeric CDE/FG ring models **3a** and **3b** for comparison of their NMR data with those of prymnesins, which led to reassignment of the proposed stereochemical assignment of the E/F ring juncture of the natural products.

Retrosynthetic analysis for convergent synthesis of model compounds **3a** and **3b** is outlined in Scheme 1. We



envisioned that the six-membered E ring within **3a** and **3b** could be formed by reductive cyclization of dihydroxy ketones **4a** and **4b**. These intermediates, in turn, would be obtained through convergent coupling of the acetylide anion generated from alkyne **5** with aldehydes **6** and *ent*-**6**.

The synthesis of the CD ring alkyne 5 started with bicyclic ketone 7^7 (Scheme 2). Ring expansion⁸ of 7 with tri-



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) trimethylsilyldiazomethane, BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C; (b) CSA, MeOH, rt, 67% (two steps); (c) LiHMDS, Et₃N, TMSCl, THF, -78 °C; (d) OsO₄ (cat.), NMO, THF/H₂O, rt, 89% (two steps); (e) DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C; (f) Me₂C(OMe)₂, CSA, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 95% (two steps); (g) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/ C, MeOH, rt, 97%; (h) SO₃·pyr, Et₃N, DMSO, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; (i) CBr₄, PPh₃, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 85% (two steps); (j) NaHMDS, THF, -100 °C, then *n*-BuLi, 90%.

methylsilyldiazomethane in the presence of BF₃·OEt₂ gave, after acidic treatment, seven-membered ketone **8** in 67% yield for the two steps. Ketone **8** was converted to the corresponding silyl enol ether, which was then oxidized with OsO₄ to afford α -hydroxy ketone **9** in 89% yield as the sole product.⁹ DIBAL-H reduction of **9** followed by protection of the resultant diol gave acetonide **10** in 95% overall yield. The stereochemistry of **10** was unambiguously established by

⁽⁴⁾ Matsumori, N.; Kaneno, D.; Murata, M.; Nakamura, H.; Tachibana, K. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, 64, 866–876.

NOEs between 30-H/32-H and 32-H/33-H.¹⁰ Removal of the benzyl group by hydrogenolysis provided alcohol **11** (97%), which was then converted into dibromoolefin **12** in two steps (85% yield).¹¹ Finally, sequential treatment with NaHMDS and *n*-BuLi afforded the desired alkyne **5** in 90% yield.¹²

For the construction of the FG ring aldehyde **6**, we used ketone 7^7 as the same starting material, which was converted to *exo*-methylene **13** through Peterson olefination¹³ (Scheme 3). This olefin was subjected to hydrogenation/hydrogenoly-



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) TMSCH₂MgCl, THF, 0 °C; (b) HOAc, 120 °C, 55% (two steps); (c) H₂, Pd/C, EtOAc, rt, 50%; (d) SO₃·pyr, Et₃N, DMSO, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 85%.

sis to produce an approximately 2:1 mixture of reduced products, from which the desired alcohol **14** was separated by column chromatography on silica gel in 50% yield.¹⁴ The stereochemistry of the axial-oriented methyl group at C39 was confirmed by NOE between 39-Me and 41-H.¹⁰ Oxidation of **14** gave aldehyde **6** in 85% yield.

With the desired **5** and **6** in hand, we next focused our attention on their coupling (Scheme 4). An initial attempt to couple the lithium acetylide generated from **5** (*n*-BuLi, THF, -78 °C) with **6** gave a poor yield of the desired propargylic



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) **5**, *n*-BuLi, THF, -78 °C, CeCl₃, then **6**, 93%; (b) H₂, Pd/C, MeOH, rt; (c) TPAP, NMO, 4 Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 80% (two steps); (d) 6 M HCl, THF, $0 \rightarrow 30$ °C, 89%; (e) *p*-TsOH·H₂O, MeOH, rt, 44% (92% based on recovered **4a**); (f) Et₃SiH, BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeCN, 0 °C, 83%; (g) Ac₂O, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, rt, quant.

alcohol 15 together with recovered 5 and 6. However, a less basic alkynylcerium reagent¹⁵ prepared from the lithium acetylide and cerium(III) chloride was more satisfactory and added successfully to aldehyde 6 to give 15 as an approximately 7:3 diastereomeric mixture in high yield. Hydrogenation of the triple bond followed by oxidation of the secondary alcohol with TPAP/NMO provided ketone 16 in 80% yield over the two steps. The acetonide group was removed by treatment with 6 M HCl (89%), and the obtained keto diol 4a was then treated with p-toluenesulfonic acid in methanol to yield methyl ketal 17 in 44% yield along with recovered 4a (52%).16 Finally, reduction of 17 with triethylsilane in the presence of BF3•OEt2 furnished the CDE/ FG ring model 3a in 83% yield.¹⁷ The stereochemistry of **3a** was unambiguously established by NOE and coupling constant data. Similarly, the diastereomeric model 3b was prepared from alkyne 5 and aldehyde *ent*-6.¹⁸

The two diastereomeric CDE/FG ring models 3a and 3b were subjected to the NMR study, and the ¹H and ¹³C NMR

^{(5) (}a) Sasaki, M.; Nonomura, T.; Murata, M.; Tachibana, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 5023–5026. (b) Sasaki, M.; Nonomura, T.; Murata, M.; Tachibana, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 9007–9010. (c) Sasaki, M.; Matsumori, N.; Murata, M.; Tachibana, K.; Yasumoto, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 9011–9014. (d) Sasaki, M.; Matsumori, N.; Marayama, T.; Nonomura, T.; Murata, M.; Tachibana, K.; Yasumoto, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 1782–1785. (e) Nonomura, T.; Sasaki, M.; Matsumori, N.; Murata, M.; Tachibana, K.; Yasumoto, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 1786–1789. For the work from Kishi's group, see: (f) Zheng, W.; DeMattei, J. A.; Wu, J.-P.; Duan, J. J.-W.; Cook, L. R.; Oinuma, H.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, *118*, 7946–7968.

⁽⁶⁾ Sasaki, M.; Shida, T.; Tachibana, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 5725–5728.

⁽⁷⁾ Mori, Y.; Yaegashi, K.; Furukawa, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7239-7242.

⁽⁸⁾ Mori, Y.; Yaegashi, K.; Furukawa, H. Tetrahedron **1997**, 53, 12917–12932.

⁽⁹⁾ For similar stereoselective introduction of a hydroxy group into a seven-membered ether, see: Tsukano, C.; Sasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, *125*, 14294–14295.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Numbering of all compounds in this paper corresponds to that of prymnesins.

⁽¹¹⁾ Corey, E. J.; Fuchs, P. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 13, 3769–3772.

⁽¹²⁾ Grandjean, D.; Pale, P.; Chuche, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 3529–3530.

⁽¹³⁾ Boeckman, R. K., Jr.; Silver, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 14, 3497–3500.

⁽¹⁴⁾ For similar face-selective hydrogenation, see: (a) Wipf, P.; Uto, Y.; Yoshimura, S. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 1670–1681. (b) Ireland, R. E.; Daub, J. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 479–485.

^{(15) (}a) Imamoto, T.; Kusumoto, T.; Tawarayama, Y.; Sugiura, Y.; Mita, T.; Hatanaka, Y.; Yokoyama, M. J. Org. Chem. **1984**, 49, 3904–3912. (b) Imamoto, T.; Sugiura, Y.; Takiyama, N. Tetrahedron Lett. **1984**, 25, 4233–4236. (c) Fox, C. M. J.; Hiner, R. N.; Warrier, U.; White, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett. **1988**, 29, 2923–2926. (d) Molander, G. A. Chem. Rev. **1992**, 92, 29–68.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Direct treatment of 16 with excess amounts of p-toluenesulfonic acid in methanol resulted in a low yield of methyl ketal 17.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Lewis, M. D.; Cha, J. K.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4976-4978.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Synthesis of compounds ent-6 and 3b are included in Supporting Information.



Figure 2. Revised structure of prymnesins.

chemical shifts for the C35–C40 portion of each compound were compared with those of *N*-acetylprymnesin-2 (NAPRM2) (Table 1). The NMR data observed for 3b, and not for 3a,

Table 1. Selected NMR Data of the C35–C40 Regions in NAPRM2 and Model Compounds **3a** and **3b** (600 MHz, 1:1 C_5D_5N/CD_3OD)

	NAPRM2		3a		3b	
	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	δ_{C}	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$
35	1.37	32.4	1.42	32.4 ^a	1.38	32.3
	2.02		2.03		2.03	
36	1.23	30.9	1.22	28.4	1.24	30.6
	1.94		1.52		1.96	
37	3.07	77.9	3.24	79.7	3.09	77.6
38	3.12	84.4	3.31	84.4	3.16	83.9
39	2.04	31.6	1.81	32.5^{a}	2.09	31.3
40	1.44	38.5	1.52	39.0	1.45	38.1
	1.67		1.71		1.69	
39-Me	0.88	14.5	0.89	14.5	0.91	14.2
^{<i>a</i>} Interchangeable.						

matched well the reported values for NAPRM2. In particular, the $\delta_{\rm C}$ values of C36 and C37 in **3a** deviated from those of NAPRM2 over 1 ppm, while the relevant values of **3b** match those of NAPRM2 within 0.5 ppm. The coupling constants, $J_{37,38} = 9.0$ Hz and $J_{38,39} = 2.5$ Hz, of **3b** also agreed well with those reported for NAPRM2 (8.5 and 2.5 Hz, respectively), while the diastereomer **3a** showed somewhat different values (7.8 and 1.9 Hz, respectively). In addition, NMR chemical shift values for acetate **18b** (600 MHz, CDCl₃) corresponded well with those for peracetyl prymnesin-2 (PAPRM2), while those for acetate **18a** did not (Table 2). These results suggest that the formerly assigned configuration of the E/F ring juncture needs to be revised to be represented by structure **3b**, though the NOE data of **3b** did not fully reproduce those observed for NAPRM2.¹⁹

Table 2.	Selected NMR Data of the C35-C40 Regions in
PAPRM2	and Model Compounds 18a and 18b (600 MHz,
CDCl ₃)	

	PAPRM2		18a		18b	
	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	δ_{C}	$\delta_{ m H}$	δ_{C}
35	1.46	30.8	1.44	30.9	1.45	30.8
	2.11		2.10		2.08	
36	1.27	29.1	1.24	26.5	1.26	29.1
	2.05		1.64		2.04	
37	3.11	76.4	3.22	78.1	3.10	76.2
38	3.23	82.1	3.32	82.3	3.24	82.1
39	2.17	29.5	1.92	30.4	2.17	29.5
40	1.53	36.4	1.58	37.3	1.55	36.5
	1.78		1.80		1.79	
39-Me	0.93	13.0	0.96	13.2	0.94	13.0

In conclusion, we have synthesiszed two diastereomeric CDE/FG ring models **3a** and **3b** of prymnesins through alkynylcerium—aldehyde coupling and reductive ring-closure of the E ring. Comparison of the NMR chemical shifts for models **3a** and **3b** with those reported for natural products allowed the reassignment of the relative configuration of the E/F ring juncture of prymnesins to be that shown in Figure 2.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Professor Kazuo Tachibana (University of Tokyo) for helpful discussion. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedure and spectroscopic data for all compounds; synthetic scheme and NOE data for compound **3b**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. OL049569L

⁽¹⁹⁾ NOE data for compound $\mathbf{3b}$ is included in Supporting Information.